

LUPA

Stephen Black

Consultant Vascular Surgeon

Reader in Venous Surgery

Guys and St Thomas' Hospitals London

And Kings College London

The problem with leg ulcer care

- Poor referral practices
- Lack of clarity on what treatment is needed
- Pathophysiology and pathways poorly understood

RSM Venous Forum releases guidance for
management of leg ulcers

6th April 2018 3026



The ROYAL
SOCIETY of
MEDICINE

EVRA Study

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

A Randomized Trial of Early Endovenous Ablation in Venous Ulceration

Manjit S. Gohel, M.D., Francine Heatley, B.Sc., Xinxue Liu, Ph.D., Andrew Bradbury, M.D., Richard Bulbulia, M.D., Nicky Cullum, Ph.D., David M. Epstein, Ph.D., Isaac Nyamekye, M.D., Keith R. Poskitt, M.D., Sophie Renton, M.S., Jane Warwick, Ph.D., and Alun H. Davies, D.Sc., for the EVRA Trial Investigators*

Early intervention significantly improves healing but:

- 93% of patients excluded (6105/6555 screened)
- Role of deep venous intervention unclear
- Treatment for larger ulcers unclear

What does SOC look like?

- Community based care
- Multiple different models – no consistency
- Delayed or non-referral for vascular opinion

SOC: 21% healed, 3% healing,
76% not healed/recurred

LUPA study Rationale

- Cohort of consecutive patients – all comers
- Accelerated ulcer care pathway (Diagnosis and Treatment)
- Epidemiology – Deep/SVI/Arterial/Other
- Barriers to implementation of care pathways
- Compare outcomes to SOC
- Longitudinal monitoring of clinical and economic outcomes using a digital health solution (Medopad)

LUPA Results

- 130 patients enrolled
- 110 patients completed follow up to 1 year
- 15 lost to follow up
- 5 excluded from evaluation





- Consecutive all comers
- Treated all underlying venous disease
- Foam
- Surgical debridement if needed

LUPA Results

Baseline Demographics	N = 110	
Male	75	68%
Female	35	32%
Age	59 years (20 – 91)	
Ulcer < 3mo	11	10%
Ulcer 4-6 month	31	28%
Ulcer 7-12 months	15	14%
Ulcer > 12 months	53	48%

Referral Source	N = 110	
Practice Nurse	4	4%
General Practice	65	59%
Tissue Viability	20	18%
Other	19	17%
District Nurse	2	2%

GSTT does have a tertiary referral practice but the majority of patients were local referrals

LUPA Results

	N = 110	
DVT	59	54%
Hypertension	44	40%
Superficial Venous Thrombosis	24	22%
Arthritis	22	20%
Ischemic Heart Disease	14	13%
Diabetes	13	12%
Trauma (Surgical / Accident)	13	12%
Peripheral Artery Disease	11	10%

PTS is significantly underestimated in long standing ulcers

LUPA Results

Treatment	N = 110	
SVI Treatment	67	61%
Venous Stent	37	33%
Other	6	6%



LUPA Results

Outcomes	12 months
Healed	80%
Healing	11%
Not Healed	9%



LUPA Pathway


- Old

- Multiple sources
- No referral to vascular
- Ad hoc assessment

- New

- Rapid access clinic and direct referral process
- Immediate full assessment (arterial and venous)
- Defined treatment pathway for rapid treatment

Where next?



- Validate the results in other centers with mixed population
 - Oxford (Urban/Rural)
Emma Wilton
 - Cambridge (Urban/Rural)
Manj Gohel
- Further data analysis
- Influence policy – NHS and NICE

Conclusion

- This is an initial data analysis
- Need to validate the results in other centers to ensure the data is not skewed
- The goal needs to remain on improving healing but also reduce recurrence in the long term
- Further analysis including epidemiology, HE and treatment strategies

LUPA

Stephen Black

Consultant Vascular Surgeon

Reader in Venous Surgery

Guys and St Thomas' Hospitals London

And Kings College London